IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

ROBERT LEWIS WARD,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
v.	§	Civil Action No. 4:24-cv-1044-P
	§	
ALL STATE AND LOCAL	§	
GOVERNMENT,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

On October 28, 2024, *pro se* Plaintiff Robert Lewis Ward filed a civil complaint, and this case was referred to the undersigned for judicial screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Special Order 3. *See* ECF Nos. 1, 4.

The findings, conclusions and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge are as follows:

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS:

A. NATURE OF THE CASE

This case is a new civil action.

B. PARTIES

Robert Lewis Ward is the plaintiff. Plaintiff names "All State and Local Government" as the defendant(s).

C. LEGAL ANALYSIS

To proceed with a civil action in this Court, a plaintiff must either pay the \$405 filing and administrative fees or be granted permission to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP). See 28 U.S.C. §§

1914, 1915. After reviewing Plaintiff's IFP application, the Court determined that Plaintiff has sufficient financial resources to pay the \$405 filing and administrative fees for this action. *See* ECF No. 6. In turn, the Court ordered Plaintiff to pay \$405 to the Clerk of Court by November 12, 2024. *Id.* The Court explicitly warned Plaintiff that failure to timely comply with its order may result in dismissal of this case without further notice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). *Id.*

Rule 41(b) permits a court to dismiss an action *sua sponte* for failure to prosecute or follow orders of the court. *McCullough v. Lynaugh*, 835 F.2d 1126, 1127 (5th Cir. 1988). This authority flows from a court's inherent power to control its docket, prevent undue delays in the disposition of pending cases, and avoid congested court calendars. *Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 629-31 (1962). The deadline for Plaintiff to comply with the Court's order lapsed on November 12. To date, Plaintiff has not complied with the Court's order or otherwise attempted to cure his filing deficiency. Because Plaintiff has not paid the requisite fees, this case is subject to dismissal for failure to comply with a Court order and for lack of prosecution under Rule 41(b).

RECOMMENDATION

It is therefore **RECOMMENDED** that Plaintiff's complaint be **DISMISSED** for lack of prosecution, without prejudice to being refiled. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO OBJECT TO PROPOSED FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION AND CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO OBJECT

A copy of these findings, conclusions, and recommendation shall be served on all parties in the manner provided by law. Under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), each party to this action has the right to serve and file specific written objections in the United States District Court to the United States Magistrate Judge's proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation within fourteen (14) days after the party has been served with a copy of this document. The United States District Judge

need only make a de novo determination of those portions of the United States Magistrate Judge's proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation to which specific objection is timely made. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Failure to file by the date stated above a specific written objection to a proposed factual finding or legal conclusion will bar a party, except upon grounds of plain error or manifest injustice, from attacking on appeal any such proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the United States District Judge. *See Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428–29 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded by statute* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the deadline to file objections from ten to fourteen days).

It is further **ORDERED** that the above-styled and numbered action, previously referred to the undersigned for findings, conclusions, and recommendation, be and is hereby, **RETURNED** to the docket of the United States District Judge.

SIGNED November 13, 2024.

JEFFREY L. CURETON

ON TED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE